



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa


Homoclinic solutions for a class of second-order Hamiltonian systems[☆]

 X.H. Tang^{a,*}, Xiaoyan Lin^{a,b}
^a School of Mathematical Sciences and Computing Technology, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, PR China

^b Department of Mathematics, Huaihua College, Huaihua, Hunan 418008, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 April 2008

Available online 7 January 2009

Submitted by J. Mawhin

Keywords:

Homoclinic solutions

Hamiltonian systems

Coercive potential

ABSTRACT

A new result for existence of homoclinic orbits is obtained for the second-order Hamiltonian systems $\ddot{u}(t) - L(t)u(t) + \nabla[W_1(t, u(t)) - W_2(t, u(t))] = f(t)$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $W_1, W_2 \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are not necessary periodic in t . This result generalizes and improves some existing results in the literature.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Consider the second-order Hamiltonian systems

$$\ddot{u}(t) - L(t)u(t) + \nabla W(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $L \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ and $W : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. As usual, we say that a solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) is homoclinic (to 0) if $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. In addition, if $u(t) \not\equiv 0$ then $u(t)$ is called a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

The existence and multiplicity of homoclinic orbits for second-order Hamiltonian systems have been extensively investigated in many recent papers via critical point theory, see, e.g., [1–8, 10–21]. For (1.1), if $L(t)$ and $W(t, x)$ are T -periodic in t , Rabinowitz [14] showed the existence of homoclinic orbits as a limit of $2kT$ -periodic solutions of (1.1). Analogous results for general Hamiltonian systems were obtained by Felmer et al. [7], Izydorek and Janczewska [10], Tang and Xiao [18] and Coti Zelati, Ekeland and Sere [19]. The related results can refer to [13] in the case where $L(t)$ and $W(t, x)$ are either independent of t .

If $L(t)$ and $W(t, x)$ are neither periodic in t , the problem of existence of homoclinic orbits for (1.1) is quite different from the ones just described, because of lack of compactness of the Sobolev embedding. In [15], Rabinowitz and Tanaka studied (1.1) without a periodicity assumption, both for L and W and obtained the following theorem by using a variant of the Mountain Pass theorem without the Palais–Smale condition.

Theorem A. (See [15].) Assume that L and W satisfy the following conditions:

- (L) $L(t)$ is positive definite symmetric matrix for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and there exists an $l \in C(\mathbb{R}, (0, \infty))$ such that $l(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ and

[☆] This work is partially supported by the NNSF (No. 10771215) of China and supported by Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (07A066).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: tangxh@mail.csu.edu.cn (X.H. Tang).

$$(L(t)x, x) \geq l(t)|x|^2$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

(W1) $W \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and there is a constant $\mu > 2$ such that

$$0 < \mu W(t, x) \leq (x, \nabla W(t, x))$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$;

(W2) $|\nabla W(t, x)| = o(|x|)$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

(W3) There is a $\bar{W} \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$|W(t, x)| + |\nabla W(t, x)| \leq |\bar{W}(x)|$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then system (1.1) possesses a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

By introducing a new compact imbedding theorem, Omana and Willem [11] showed that the Palais–Smale condition is satisfied and used the usual Mountain Pass theorem to prove the above Theorem A. In addition, Ding [5] and Ou and Tang [12] generalized Theorem A under more assumptions on L .

Motivated by the above paper [3,5,11,12,15], we will improve and generalize Theorem A along another direction. To this end, we consider the following general second-order Hamiltonian systems

$$\ddot{u}(t) - L(t)u(t) + \nabla W(t, u(t)) = f(t), \quad (\text{HS})$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, L is the same as (1.1), $W : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy:

(H1) $W(t, x) = W_1(t, x) - W_2(t, x)$, $W_1, W_2 \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, and there is an $R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{l(t)} |\nabla W(t, x)| = o(|x|), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly in $t \in (-\infty, -R] \cup [R, +\infty)$;

(H2) There are two constants $\mu > 2$ and $v \in [0, 2^{-1}\mu - 1)$ such that

$$\frac{\mu v}{\mu - 2} (L(t)x, x) < \mu W_1(t, x) \leq (x, \nabla W_1(t, x)) + v(L(t)x, x)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$;

(H3) $W_2(t, 0) \equiv 0$ and there is a constant $\varrho \in [2, \mu)$ such that

$$W_2(t, x) \geq 0, \quad (x, \nabla W_2(t, x)) \leq \varrho W_2(t, x)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

(H4) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (L^{-1}(t)f(t), f(t)) dt < \begin{cases} \frac{(\mu-2-2v)^2}{2(\mu-1)^2} \left[\frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-2)} \right]^{2/(\mu-2)} \sqrt{l_*}, & \text{if } M > \frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-1)(\mu-2)}, \\ 2 \left[\frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-2)} - M \right]^2 \sqrt{l_*}, & \text{if } M \leq \frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-1)(\mu-2)}, \end{cases}$$

where $L^{-1}(t)$ is the inverse matrix of $L(t)$ and

$$l_* = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} l(t), \quad M = \sup \left\{ \frac{W_1(t, x)}{l(t)} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x| = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that L , W and f satisfy (L) and (H1)–(H4). Then system (HS) possesses a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

Remark 1.1. Obviously, for (1.1), i.e. (HS) with $W_2(t, x) \equiv 0$ and $f(t) \equiv 0$, Theorem 1.1 still improves Theorem A by relaxing conditions (W1) and (W2) (see (H1) and (H2)) and removing condition (W3).

2. Preliminaries

Let

$$E = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} [|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + (L(t)u(t), u(t))] dt < +\infty \right\}$$

and for $u \in E$, let

$$\|u\| = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} [|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + (L(t)u(t), u(t))] dt \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Then E is a Hilbert space on the above norm.

Let $I : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [-W_1(t, u(t)) + W_2(t, u(t)) + (f(t), u(t))] dt. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ and one can easily check that

$$I'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)) + (L(t)u(t), v(t)) + (\nabla W_2(t, u(t)), v(t)) - (\nabla W_1(t, u(t)), v(t)) + (f(t), v(t))] dt. \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, the critical points of I in E are classical solution of (HS) with $u(\pm\infty) = 0$.

We will obtain a critical point of I by using a standard version of the Mountain Pass theorem. Since the minimax characterization it provides the critical value is important for what follows. Therefore, we state this theorem precisely.

Lemma 2.1. (See [14].) *Let E be a real Banach space and $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the Palais–Smale condition. If I satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $I(0) = 0$;
- (ii) *There exist constants $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho(0)} \geq \alpha$;*
- (iii) *There exists $e \in E \setminus \bar{B}_\rho(0)$ such that $I(e) \leq 0$, then I possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$ given by*

$$c = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I(g(s)),$$

where $B_\rho(0)$ is an open ball in E of radius ρ about at 0, and

$$\Gamma = \{g \in C([0, 1], E) : g(0) = 0, g(1) = e\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a > 0$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the following inequality holds:*

$$|u(t)| \leq (2a)^{-1/2} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\frac{a}{6}} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} |\dot{u}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|u(t)| \leq |u(\tau)| + \left| \int_{\tau}^t \dot{u}(s) ds \right|. \quad (2.4)$$

Set

$$\varphi(s) = \begin{cases} s + a - t, & t - a \leq s \leq t, \\ t + a - s, & t \leq s \leq t + a. \end{cases}$$

Integrating (2.4) over $[t - a, t + a]$ and using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2a|u(t)| &\leq \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(\tau)| d\tau + \int_{t-a}^{t+a} \left| \int_{\tau}^t \dot{u}(s) ds \right| d\tau \\
&\leq \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(\tau)| d\tau + \int_{t-a}^t \int_{\tau}^t |\dot{u}(s)| ds d\tau + \int_t^{t+a} \int_t^{\tau} |\dot{u}(s)| ds d\tau \\
&= \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(s)| ds + \int_{t-a}^t (s+a-t) |\dot{u}(s)| ds + \int_t^{t+a} (t+a-s) |\dot{u}(s)| ds \\
&= \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(s)| ds + \int_{t-a}^{t+a} \varphi(s) |\dot{u}(s)| ds \\
&\leq (2a)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} [\varphi(s)]^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} |\dot{u}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
&= (2a)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \frac{a}{3} \sqrt{6a} \left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a} |\dot{u}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that (2.3) holds. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2.1. Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the following inequality holds:

$$|u(t)| \leq \left[\int_{t-1}^{t+1} (|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + |u(s)|^2) ds \right]^{1/2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Let $a = 1$ in (2.3). Then we obtain

$$|u(t)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left[\left(\int_{t-1}^{t+1} |u(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{t-1}^{t+1} |\dot{u}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right].$$

It follows from the above and the inequality $(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})/2 \leq \sqrt{(a+b)/2}$ that (2.5) holds. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.3. For $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + |u(s)|^2) ds \right]^{1/2}; \quad (2.6)$$

and for $u \in E$,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{l_*}} \|u\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{l_*}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} [|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + (L(s)u(s), u(s))] ds \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$|u(t)| \leq \left\{ \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(s)}} [|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + (L(s)u(s), u(s))] ds \right\}^{1/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$|u(t)| \leq \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(s)}} [|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + (L(s)u(s), u(s))] ds \right\}^{1/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Since $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + |u(t)|^2) dt < \infty,$$

and so

$$\lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ |t| \geq r}} \int (|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + |u(t)|^2) dt = 0.$$

Combining this with (2.5), we have $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |u(t)| = 0$. Hence, if $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a $t^* \in (-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$|u(t^*)| = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |u(t)|. \quad (2.10)$$

Choose two sequences $\{t_k\}$ and $\{t_{-k}\}$ such that

$$\cdots < t_{-3} < t_{-2} < t_{-1} < t^* < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \cdots,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = +\infty, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{-k} = -\infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u(t_k)| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u(t_{-k})| = 0.$$

Note that

$$|u(t^*)|^2 = |u(t_k)|^2 - 2 \int_{t^*}^{t_k} (u(s), \dot{u}(s)) ds \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$|u(t^*)|^2 = |u(t_{-k})|^2 + 2 \int_{t_{-k}}^{t^*} (u(s), \dot{u}(s)) ds. \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t^*)|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} (|u(t_k)|^2 + |u(t_{-k})|^2) - \int_{t^*}^{t_k} (u(s), \dot{u}(s)) ds + \int_{t_{-k}}^{t^*} (u(s), \dot{u}(s)) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|u(t_k)|^2 + |u(t_{-k})|^2) + \int_{t_{-k}}^{t_k} |u(s)| |\dot{u}(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|u(t_k)|^2 + |u(t_{-k})|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{-k}}^{t_k} (|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + |u(s)|^2) ds, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above, we obtain

$$|u(t^*)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + |u(s)|^2) ds,$$

which implies that (2.6) holds.

For $u \in E$, we have by (2.11) and (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t^*)|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|u(t_k)|^2 + |u(t_{-k})|^2) + \int_{t_{-k}}^{t_k} |u(s)| |\dot{u}(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|u(t_k)|^2 + |u(t_{-k})|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{-k}}^{t_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(s)}} (|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + l(s) |u(s)|^2) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|u(t_k)|^2 + |u(t_{-k})|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{-k}}^{t_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(s)}} [|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + (L(s)u(s), u(s))] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(|u(t_k)|^2 + |u(t_{-k})|^2) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{l_*}} \int_{t_{-k}}^{t_k} [|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + (L(s)u(s), u(s))] ds, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above, we obtain

$$|u(t^*)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{l_*}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + (L(s)u(s), u(s))] ds,$$

which implies that (2.7) holds.

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_{-k} < t < t_k$. Then we have

$$|u(t)|^2 = |u(t_k)|^2 - 2 \int_t^{t_k} (u(s), \dot{u}(s)) ds \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$|u(t)|^2 = |u(t_{-k})|^2 + 2 \int_{t_{-k}}^t (u(s), \dot{u}(s)) ds. \quad (2.14)$$

By (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)|^2 &\leq |u(t_k)|^2 + 2 \int_t^{t_k} |u(s)| |\dot{u}(s)| ds \\ &\leq |u(t_k)|^2 + \int_t^{t_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(s)}} (|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + l(s)|u(s)|^2) ds \\ &\leq |u(t_k)|^2 + \int_t^{t_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(s)}} [|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + (L(s)u(s), u(s))] ds, \quad \text{for large } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above, we obtain

$$|u(t)|^2 \leq \int_t^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(s)}} [|\dot{u}(s)|^2 + (L(s)u(s), u(s))] ds,$$

which implies that (2.8) holds.

Similarly, (2.9) can be proved by using (2.14) instead of (2.13). The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.4. Assume that (H2) and (H3) hold. Then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the following inequalities hold:

$$W_1(t, x) \leq \left[W_1\left(t, \frac{x}{|x|}\right) - \frac{\nu}{\mu-2} \left(L(t) \frac{x}{|x|}, \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right] |x|^\mu + \frac{\nu}{\mu-2} (L(t)x, x), \quad \text{if } 0 < |x| \leq 1, \quad (2.15)$$

$$W_1(t, x) \geq \left[W_1\left(t, \frac{x}{|x|}\right) - \frac{\nu}{\mu-2} \left(L(t) \frac{x}{|x|}, \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right] |x|^\mu + \frac{\nu}{\mu-2} (L(t)x, x), \quad \text{if } |x| \geq 1, \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$W_2(t, x) \leq W_2\left(t, \frac{x}{|x|}\right) |x|^\varrho, \quad \text{if } |x| \geq 1. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. Set $\phi(s) = s^{-\mu} W_1(t, sx)$. Then by (H2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(s) &= -\mu s^{-\mu-1} W_1(t, sx) + s^{-\mu} (\nabla W_1(t, sx), x) \\ &= s^{-\mu-1} [-\mu W_1(t, sx) + (\nabla W_1(t, sx), sx)] \\ &\geq -\nu s^{1-\mu} (L(t)x, x), \quad s > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

If $s \geq 1$, then it follows that

$$\phi(1) \leq \phi(s) + \frac{\nu}{\mu-2} (1-s^{2-\mu})(L(t)x, x),$$

which implies that (2.15) holds. If $0 < s \leq 1$, then it follows from (2.18) that

$$\phi(1) \geq \phi(s) + \frac{\nu}{\mu-2} (1-s^{2-\mu})(L(t)x, x),$$

which implies that (2.16) holds. By a similar fashion, we can prove that (2.17) holds. The proof is complete. \square

3. Proof of the theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is clear that $I(0) = 0$. We firstly show that I satisfies the Palais–Smale condition. Assume that $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ is a sequence such that $\{I(u_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $I'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|I(u_k)| \leq \frac{c(\mu-2)}{2\mu}, \quad \|I'(u_k)\|_{E^*} \leq (\mu-1)c, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

From (2.1), (2.2), (3.1), (H2) and (H3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c(\mu-2)}{\mu} + \frac{2(\mu-1)c}{\mu} \|u_k\| &\geq 2I(u_k) - \frac{2}{\mu} I'(u_k)u_k \\ &= \frac{\mu-2}{\mu} \|u_k\|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[W_2(t, u_k(t)) - \frac{1}{\mu} (\nabla W_2(t, u_k(t)), u_k(t)) \right] dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[W_1(t, u_k(t)) - \frac{1}{\mu} (\nabla W_1(t, u_k(t)), u_k(t)) \right] dt + \frac{2(\mu-1)}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(t), u_k(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{\mu-2}{\mu} \|u_k\|^2 - \frac{2(\mu-1)}{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (L^{-1}(t)f(t), f(t)) dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (L(t)u_k(t), u_k(t)) dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \frac{\mu-2}{\mu} \|u_k\|^2 - \frac{2(\mu-1)}{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (L^{-1}(t)f(t), f(t)) dt \right)^{1/2} \|u_k\| \\ &= \frac{\mu-2}{\mu} \|u_k\|^2 - \frac{2(\mu-1)\Lambda}{\mu} \|u_k\|, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (L^{-1}(t)f(t), f(t)) dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

It follows that there exists an $A > 0$ such that

$$\|u_k\| \leq A, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

So passing to a subsequence if necessary, it can be assumed that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ in E . For the any given number $\varepsilon > 0$, by (H1), we can choose $\eta > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla W(t, x)| \leq \varepsilon l(t)|x|, \quad \text{for } |t| \geq R \text{ and } |x| < \eta. \quad (3.3)$$

Since $l(t) \rightarrow \infty$, we can also choose a $T > R$ such that

$$l(t) \geq \frac{A^4}{\eta^4}, \quad |t| \geq T. \quad (3.4)$$

By (2.8), (3.2) and (3.4), we have

$$|u_k(t)|^2 \leq \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(s)}} [|\dot{u}_k(s)|^2 + (L(s)u_k(s), u_k(s))] ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\eta^2}{A^2} \int_t^\infty [|\dot{u}_k(s)|^2 + (L(s)u_k(s), u_k(s))] ds \\
&\leq \frac{\eta^2}{A^2} \|u_k\|^2 \\
&\leq \eta^2, \quad \text{for } t \geq T, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|u_k(t)|^2 \leq \eta^2, \quad \text{for } t \leq -T, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.6}$$

Noting that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ in E , it is easy to verify that $u_k(t)$ converge to $u_0(t)$ pointwise for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, we have by (3.5) and (3.6)

$$|u_0(t)| \leq \eta, \quad \text{for } t \in (-\infty, -T] \cup [T, +\infty). \tag{3.7}$$

Since $l(t) \geq c > 0$ on $[-T, T] = J$, the operator defined by $S : E \rightarrow H^1(J) : u \rightarrow u|_J$ is a linear continuous map. So $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $H^1(J)$. Sobolev's theorem (see e.g. [9]) implies that $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ uniformly on J , so there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{-T}^T |\nabla W(t, u_k(t)) - \nabla W(t, u_0(t))| |u_k(t) - u_0(t)| dt < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.3), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-T, T]} |\nabla W(t, u_k(t)) - \nabla W(t, u_0(t))| |u_k(t) - u_0(t)| dt \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-T, T]} (|\nabla W(t, u_k(t))| + |\nabla W(t, u_0(t))|) (|u_k(t)| + |u_0(t)|) dt \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-T, T]} l(t) (|u_k(t)| + |u_0(t)|) (|u_k(t)| + |u_0(t)|) dt \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-T, T]} l(t) (|u_k(t)|^2 + |u_0(t)|^2) dt \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-T, T]} [(L(t)u_k(t), u_k(t)) + (L(t)u_0(t), u_0(t))] dt \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon (\|u_k\|^2 + \|u_0\|^2) \\
&\leq 4\varepsilon A^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.8) with (3.9) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla W(t, u_k(t)) - \nabla W(t, u_0(t))| |u_k(t) - u_0(t)| dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(I'(u_k) - I'(u_0))(u_k - u_0) &= \|u_k - u_0\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\nabla W(t, u_k(t)) - \nabla W(t, u_0(t)), u_k(t) - u_0(t)) dt \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(t), u_k(t) - u_0(t)) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

This shows that $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ in E . Hence, I satisfies the Palais–Smale condition.

We now show that there exist constants ρ and $\alpha > 0$ such that I satisfies the assumption (ii) of Lemma 2.1 with these constants. Choose $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\frac{\mu - 2 - 2\nu}{2(\mu - 2)} \delta - M\delta^{\mu-1} = \max_{x \in [0, 1]} \left(\frac{\mu - 2 - 2\nu}{2(\mu - 2)} x - Mx^{\mu-1} \right).$$

Then

$$\frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-2)}\delta - M\delta^{\mu-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-1)} \left[\frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-2)} \right]^{1/(\mu-2)}, & \text{if } M > \frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-1)(\mu-2)}, \\ \frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-2)} - M, & \text{if } M \leq \frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-1)(\mu-2)}. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

If $\|u\| = \sqrt{2\sqrt{I_*}}\delta = \rho$, then it follows from (2.7) that $|u(t)| \leq \delta \leq 1$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By (1.2) and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_1(t, u(t)) dt &= \int_{\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(t) \neq 0\}} W_1(t, u(t)) dt \\ &\leq \int_{\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(t) \neq 0\}} \left[W_1\left(t, \frac{u(t)}{|u(t)|}\right) |u(t)|^\mu + \frac{v}{\mu-2} (L(t)u(t), u(t)) \right] dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[Ml(t) |u(t)|^\mu + \frac{v}{\mu-2} (L(t)u(t), u(t)) \right] dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[M\delta^{\mu-2} l(t) |u(t)|^2 + \frac{v}{\mu-2} (L(t)u(t), u(t)) \right] dt \\ &\leq \left(M\delta^{\mu-2} + \frac{v}{\mu-2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L(t)u(t), u(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Set

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2\sqrt{I_*}}\delta \left[\sqrt{2\sqrt{I_*}} \left(\frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-2)}\delta - M\delta^{\mu-1} \right) - \Lambda \right]. \quad (3.14)$$

It follows from (H4) and (3.12) that $\alpha > 0$. Hence, from (2.1), (H3), (3.13), (3.14) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [W_2(t, u(t)) - W_1(t, u(t)) + (f(t), u(t))] dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\mu-2} - M\delta^{\mu-2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L(t)u(t), u(t)) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(t), u(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\mu-2} - M\delta^{\mu-2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L(t)u(t), u(t)) dt \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (L^{-1}(t)f(t), f(t)) dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (L(t)u(t), u(t)) dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\mu-2} - M\delta^{\mu-2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} [|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + (L(t)u(t), u(t))] dt - \Lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} [|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + (L(t)u(t), u(t))] dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\mu-2} - M\delta^{\mu-2} \right) \|u\|^2 - \Lambda \|u\| \\ &= \sqrt{2\sqrt{I_*}}\delta \left[\sqrt{2\sqrt{I_*}} \left(\frac{\mu-2-2v}{2(\mu-2)}\delta - M\delta^{\mu-1} \right) - \Lambda \right] \\ &= \alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

(3.15) shows that $\|u\| = \rho$ implies that $I(u) \geq \alpha$.

Finally, it remains to show that I satisfies the assumption (iii) of Lemma 2.1. Set

$$a_1 = \max \{ W_2(t, x) \mid t \in [-2, 2], x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x| = 1 \}$$

and

$$a_2 = \max \{ W_2(t, x) \mid t \in [-2, 2], x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x| \leq 1 \}.$$

Then by (H3) and (2.17), $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 < \infty$ and

$$W_2(t, x) \leq a_1 |x|^q + a_2, \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in [-2, 2] \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.16)$$

Take $\omega \in E$ such that

$$|\omega(t)| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |t| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } |t| \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

and $|\omega(t)| \leq 1$ for $|t| \in (1, 2]$. For $\zeta > 1$, by (2.16) and (3.17), we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 W_1(t, \zeta \omega(t)) dt \geq |\zeta|^\mu \int_{-1}^1 \left[W_1(t, \omega(t)) - \frac{\nu}{\mu - 2} (L(t)\omega(t), \omega(t)) \right] dt \geq 2m|\zeta|^\mu, \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$m = \min_{-1 \leq t \leq 1, |x|=1} \left[W_1(t, x) - \frac{\nu}{\mu - 2} (L(t)x, x) \right] > 0,$$

see (H2). By (2.1), (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), (H2) and (H3), we have for $\zeta > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\zeta \omega) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\zeta \omega\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [W_2(t, \zeta \omega(t)) - W_1(t, \zeta \omega(t)) + \zeta(f(t), \omega(t))] dt \\ &\leq \frac{|\zeta|^2}{2} \|\omega\|^2 + \int_{-2}^2 [W_2(t, \zeta \omega(t)) + \zeta(f(t), \omega(t))] dt - \int_{-1}^1 W_1(t, \zeta \omega(t)) dt \\ &\leq \frac{|\zeta|^2}{2} \|\omega\|^2 + a_1 |\zeta|^\varrho \int_{-2}^2 |\omega(t)|^\varrho dt + 4a_2 + \zeta \int_{-2}^2 (f(t), \omega(t)) dt - 2m|\zeta|^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since $\mu > \varrho$ and $m > 0$, (3.19) implies that there exists $\xi > 1$ such that $\|\xi \omega\| > \rho$ and $I(\xi \omega) < 0$. Set $e(t) = \xi \omega(t)$. Then $e \in E$, $\|e\| = \|\xi \omega\| > \rho$ and $I(e) = I(\xi \omega) < 0$. By Lemma 2.1, I possesses a critical value $d \geq \alpha$ given by

$$d = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0, 1]} I(g(s)), \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{g \in C([0, 1], E) : g(0) = 0, g(1) = e\}.$$

Hence, there exists $u^* \in E$ such that

$$I(u^*) = d \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u^*) = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Then function u^* is a desired classical solution of (HS). Since $d > 0$, u^* is a nontrivial homoclinic solution even if $f(t) = 0$. \square

Remark 3.1. In this paper, we cannot prove that $\dot{u}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ for the homoclinic solution $u(t)$ of (HS). In fact, to the best of our knowledge, all the papers mentioned in Section 1 claimed that $\dot{u}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ if $u \in E$ is the critical point of the functional $I(u)$, but they did not prove this claim. It is routine to verify the above claim if $L(t)$ and $W(t, x)$ are bounded in t . However, we find that it seems be difficult to prove the above claim if $L(t)$ or $W(t, x)$ is not bounded in t . So we think it is also open to prove the above claim in this case.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referees for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] C.O. Alves, P.C. Carriao, O.H. Miyagaki, Existence of homoclinic orbits for asymptotically periodic systems involving Duffing-like equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 16 (5) (2003) 639–642.
- [2] F. Antonacci, P. Magrone, Second order nonautonomous systems with symmetric potential changing sign, *Rend. Mat. Appl.* (7) 18 (2) (1998) 367–379.
- [3] P. Caldirola, P. Montecchiari, Homoclinic orbits for second order Hamiltonian systems with potential changing sign, *J. Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* 1 (2) (1994) 97–129.
- [4] Y. Ding, M. Girardi, Periodic and homoclinic solutions to a class of Hamiltonian systems with the potentials changing sign, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 2 (1) (1993) 131–145.
- [5] Y.H. Ding, Existence and multiplicity results for homoclinic solutions to a class of Hamiltonian systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 25 (11) (1995) 1095–1113.
- [6] G.H. Fei, The existence of homoclinic orbits for Hamiltonian systems with the potential changing sign, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* 17 (4) (1996) 651 (a Chinese summary), *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* 4 (1996) 403–410.

- [7] P.L. Felmer, E.A. De, B.E. Silva, Homoclinic and periodic orbits for Hamiltonian systems, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4) 26 (2) (1998) 285–301.
- [8] P. Korman, A.C. Lazer, Homoclinic orbits for a class of symmetric Hamiltonian systems, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 1994 (1) (1994) 1–10.
- [9] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [10] M. Izydorek, J. Janczewska, Homoclinic solutions for a class of second order Hamiltonian systems, *J. Differential Equations* 219 (2) (2005) 375–389.
- [11] W. Omana, M. Willem, Homoclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *Differential Integral Equations* 5 (5) (1992) 1115–1120.
- [12] Z.Q. Ou, C.L. Tang, Existence of homoclinic orbits for the second order Hamiltonian systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 291 (1) (2004) 203–213.
- [13] P.H. Rabinowitz, Periodic and heteroclinic orbits for a periodic Hamiltonian systems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 6 (5) (1989) 331–346.
- [14] P.H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 114 (1–2) (1990) 33–38.
- [15] P.H. Rabinowitz, K. Tanaka, Some results on connecting orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *Math. Z.* 206 (3) (1991) 473–499.
- [16] A. Salvatore, Homoclinic orbits for a special class of nonautonomous Hamiltonian systems, in: *Proceedings of the Second World Congress of Nonlinear Analysis, Part 8*, Athens, 1996, *Nonlinear Anal.* 30 (8) (1997) 4849–4857.
- [17] X.H. Tang, L. Xiao, Homoclinic solutions for nonautonomous second-order Hamiltonian systems with a coercive potential, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 351 (2) (2009) 586–594.
- [18] X.H. Tang, L. Xiao, Homoclinic solutions for a class of second-order Hamiltonian systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.11.038, in press.
- [19] V. Coti Zelati, I. Ekeland, E. Sere, A variational approach to homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems, *Math. Ann.* 288 (1) (1990) 133–160.
- [20] V. Coti Zelati, P.H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic orbits for second order Hamiltonian systems possessing superquadratic potentials, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1991) 693–727.
- [21] S.Q. Zhang, Symmetrically homoclinic orbits for symmetric Hamiltonian systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 247 (2) (2000) 645–652.